JUN 1 3 2002

STATE OF ARIZONA

Den	F 25 20 16 1251 125 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
DEP	T. OF INSURANCE	100
1300	1	
BY	(X)	
1000		

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of)
THE CONNECTICUT SURETY COMPANY (NAIC No. 36960)) Docket No. 02A- <u>109</u> -INS
(11110-1101-00500)) CONSENT ORDER
Respondent.)

The State of Arizona, Department of Insurance (the "Department"), has received evidence that The Connecticut Surety Company ("Respondent") violated certain solvency provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S."), Title 20. Respondent wishes to resolve this matter without the commencement of formal proceedings, and admits the following Findings of Fact are true and consents to the entry of the following Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent The Connecticut Surety Company is domiciled in Connecticut and presently holds a certificate of authority issued by the Arizona Department of Insurance to transact surety insurance.
- 2. On February 6, 2002, in Cause No. CV-02-0814173S, Susan F. Cogswell, Insurance Commissioner of the State of Connecticut v. The Connecticut Surety Company, the Superior Court of Connecticut, Judicial District of Hartford placed Respondent into rehabilitation. The court appointed Susan F. Cogswell, Insurance Commissioner of the State of Connecticut, Rehabilitator of Respondent, and directed the Rehabilitator to take possession and control of and title to Respondent's assets and property in accordance with Connecticut law.

- 3. As of September 30, 2001, Respondent reported total liabilities of \$6,060,114 and total cash and invested assets of \$8,032,760, \$3,800,000 of which are held by the various States in the form of special or statutory deposits, resulting in liabilities exceeding available liquid assets, and constituting an adverse finding within the meaning of A.A.C. R20-6-308(A)(18).
- 4. As of December 31, 2000, Respondent reported a risk-based capital level of 172% which represents a company action level event pursuant to A.R.S. §20-488.02, and which constitutes an adverse finding and information with respect to Respondent's financial condition within the meaning of A.A.C. R20-6-308(A)(1).
- 5. Respondent has reported the following losses from operations in each of the past four years ending December 31, 2000, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2001; -\$978,000 for year ended 1997, \$2,000,000 for the year ended 1998, \$3,800,000 for the year ended 1999, \$895,000 for the year ended 2000, and \$931,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, which constitutes an adverse finding and information with respect to the Respondent's financial condition within the meaning of R20-6-308(A)(1).
- 6. Respondent is in unsound condition or in such condition as to render its further transaction of insurance in this state hazardous to the policyholders or to the people of this state, within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-220(A)(3) and A.A.C. R20-6-308.
- 7. Respondent no longer meets the requirements for the authority originally granted, on account of deficiency in assets or otherwise, within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-219(2).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Director of Insurance, State of Arizona ("Director") has jurisdiction over this matter.

1	2. Respondent is in unsound financial condition or in such a condition as to render the
2	further transaction of insurance in this State hazardous to its policyholders or to the people of this State
3	within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-220(A)(3).
4	3. Grounds exist for the Director to suspend or revoke Respondent's certificate of authority
5	pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 20-219(2) and 20-220(A).
6	ORDER
7	IT IS ORDERED suspending the certificate of authority held by Respondent The Connecticut
8	Surety Company to transact new and renewal insurance business, effective immediately.
9	DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 13 day of, 2002.
10	
11	CHARLEGE COVEN
12	CHARLES R. COHEN Director of Insurance
13	CONSENSES OF THE
14	CONSENT TO ORDER
15	1. Respondent has reviewed the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order
16	2. Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, and
17	admits the foregoing Findings of Fact and consents to the entry of the foregoing Conclusions of Law and
	Order.
18	3. Respondent is aware of its right to notice and a hearing at which it may be represented by
19	counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives its right to such
20	notice and hearing and to any court appeals relating to this Consent Order.
21	court appears relating to this Consent Order.
22	
23	
11	

Attn: Louis Scotti and Jon E. Arsenault, Esq.

23

1	Harold Horwich, Esq. Bingham Dana LLP
2	One State Street Hartford, CT 06103
3	
4	Michael E. Surguine Arizona Property and Casualty Guaranty Fund 3443 North Central, Suite 1000
5	Phoenix, AZ 85012
6	Shelby L. Cuevas Assistant Attorney General
7	Arizona Attorney General's Office
8	1275 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	Sara Begley, Deputy Director
10	Catherine O'Neil, Assistant Director Steve Ferguson, Assistant Director
	Deloris Williamson, Assistant Director Scott Greenberg, Business Administrator
11	Paul Hogan, Market Conduct Chief Examiner
12	Kurt Regner, Chief Financial Analyst Leslie Hess, Financial Affairs Legal Analyst
13	Arizona Department of Insurance
14	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, Arizona 85018
15	
16	Curvey Walters Burton
17	Carrey Ayaners Burton
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	